GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

OFFICE OF PLANNING

+ + + + +

MAYOR'S PUBLIC HEARING ON THE CONVENTION CENTER AREA STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

June 9, 2005

+ + + + +

The above-entitled Public Meeting was held at 7:00 p.m. in Room 107 of the Kennedy Recreation Center, 7th and P Streets NW, Washington, D.C., Chris Shaheen presiding.

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

CHRIS SHAHEEN, Ward 2 Neighborhood Planner VIVIAN GUERRA, Ward 1 Neighborhood Planner

	AGENDA	ITEM		PAG	2 E
CALL TO ORDER:					
Chris Shaheen			 	 	3

COMMUNITY MEMBERS TESTIFYING:

Tim Mack																			. 5
Frank Mobilio																			
Alex Padro																			10
Pleasant Mann																			13
Ray Milefsky.																			15
Lynn Johnson.																			
Lori Dodson .																			
Armond Spikell																			
Mary Sutherlan	ıd																		22
Betty Newell.																			23
Doris Brooks.																			
Al Hajj Mahdi	Le	rc	У	J.	. [The	orı	рe											27
Michael Sendar																			30
Virginia Lee.		•																	33
Harold Davit.		•																	36
Christopher Dy	er	•																	38
Barry Lumsden		•																	42
Alfred Liu				•									•		•				44
Ronnie Harris																			45
Karim Fadli .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	47
ADJOURN:																			
Chris Shaheen																			48

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 | 7:06 p.m.

2.0

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Good evening. Thank you for coming out for the Mayor's public hearing on the draft Convention Center Area Strategic Development Plan.

My name is Chris Shaheen. I'm the Ward 2
Neighborhood Planner in the D.C. Office of Planning.

Over the last 18 months, I've been working with the community to develop this plan.

The final document will become supplemental guidance to District agencies helping them to develop public policy for the study area in regards to affordable housing, retail, and infrastructure, as well as guiding private development and the use of land that's in public ownership.

As many of you know, we held our first public meeting of the planning process in October of 2003, and the last public meeting in July of 2004. The next steps for this plan will include making final revisions before it is submitted from the Mayor's office to the D.C. Council for its approval as a small area plan.

We are hoping to get this document to Council by the end of summer. And, based on comments

that my office has received since the draft was released, there will probably be a number of revisions made between now and then.

After that, my office will submit a package of information to the Mayor's office, who will then submit it to the Council. The Council will hold their own public hearing that they will schedule and the community will be able to testify at that meeting as well.

Tonight's hearing is going to be very structured. It has to be so so the transcriber can record your comments and to make sure we hear from everyone who has registered to testify.

We are going to strictly adhere to the three-minute time allotment for hearing comments.

Vivian Guerra, who is also with the Office of Planning will give you a one minute warning sign and a 30 second warning sign.

We will not be entertaining questions about the plan or its recommendations. This hearing is to receive public comments pertaining to the plan only.

The order of those giving testimony reflects the order that people notified our office to testify. If the person is not here when they are

NEAL R. GROSS

1 called, they will be given the chance to testify when they arrive. 2 3 When you begin your testimony, state your 4 name and the organization you represent, if any. 5 time allows, after all registered to testify have spoken, we will open the floor for additional comments 6 7 from those who have not already testified. And, with that, I will call Tim Mack. 8 Please sit at the table and give your name and 9 10 organization you're with. 11 MR. MACK: My name is Tim Mack and I'm the Chairman of the Board of Shaw Main Streets. 12 13 Thank you for the opportunity to address 14 this group concerning the Convention Center Area 15 Strategic Development Plan. Overall, it is an 16 excellent effort and represents a great deal of work on behalf of both the Office of Planning and the 17 18 community. 19 As Chairman of the Board of Shaw Main 20 Streets, I speak on behalf of businesses and residents 21 in the Shaw area along 9th and 7th, between K and 22 Florida Avenue. 23 We have only one major set of concerns 24 about the plan. But these concerns are of a serious

nature. We've already sent a letter detailing the

concerns, so I will only summarize them in under the three-minutes I have to speak.

The 1500 and 1600 unit blocks of 9th

Street have not been included in the plan's designated

9th Street Commercial District. And we are concerned

about that. This lack of inclusion is in spite of the

long history as mixed use areas, traditionally housing

ground floor retail, which is in concert with the

guidelines endorsed by the National Main Streets

Program and by the City Main Streets Program.

While these blocks have not been in the past formally zoned C-2-A, their use has been grandfathered. And we feel the continued mixed use reflects the historic pattern of development and the best use of these two blocks.

If the plan as written presently has the effect of opposing and suppressing continued and productive commercial mixed use growth within these two blocks, we must go on record as opposing that designation.

While the Strategic Development Plan should serve as a guide for future development patterns, it should not act as an artificial barrier to the use needs of those citizens and the entrepreneurs who live and work in the Convention

2.0

Center area.

2.0

The design of a neatly patterned block diagram should not suppress essential economic growth in the Shaw neighborhood. Progress as robust growth is more often organic than "neat". It is lively and vital and we need to support it and not suppress it.

Accordingly, I request that the 1500 and 1600 blocks of 9th Street be designated C-2-A on the Convention Center Area Strategic Development Plan.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Frank Mobilio. If you can please state your name and organization.

MR. MOBILIO: Sure. Frank Mobilio, Logan Circle Community Association President. Thank you for this opportunity to present the Logan Circle Community Association's comments on this draft strategic plan.

LCCA formed a small committee to comment and review this plan. This committee consisted of Harvey Chip Amoe, Tom Bell, Mike Gold Bernardo, Shasta Jones, LCCA's Vice President and Chair of the Environment Committee, and myself as Chair.

The committee members, excluding myself, reside within the boundaries of the draft plan. Many committee members have also participated in the public

NEAL R. GROSS

previous meetings for commenting on the plan and below is our summary and comments.

Our overall impression is favorable. We appreciate that the Office of Planning has incorporated many of the community's concerns and recommendations to the draft plan that were raised in several public forums over the last two years.

We recommend that the open space and park spaces be maintained and enhanced to provide light, clean air, rainwater absorption and percolation, as well as breathing space for the community.

The concept of open spaces for a healthy community is given great weight in the long history of city planning and we hope that the Office of Planning encourages this tradition in the area.

The proposed bike trails are a good first step in enhancing the livability of the community and encouraging the sustainable community as well as reducing automobile traffic and pollution, as well as providing a safe lane for cyclists.

We recommend that the District Department of Transportation continue to work with the community to enhance the 11th Street streetscape. LCC actively encourages development along this street to serve the needs of residents and visitors.

We also agree with the ideas proposed in the plan for the Shaw Junior High and the Seaten Elementary School sites. These two schools are in poor condition and were designed such that they do not take full advantage of their sites.

As the plan identifies, both school sites have rarely used concrete plazas. Redeveloping the sites through the creative public/private partnerships that include new schools, recreation areas, and mixed used housings would certainly benefit the quality of life and education for area residents.

We also hope that the District will make a decision soon as to the Convention Center Hotel site. In the meantime, especially along the 9th Street Corridor, the District must insure that those businesses coming into the area are the best use for any said property, serve the real needs of the immediate community, and allow for the economic expansion of the area.

We strongly hope that the District government and the District agencies listen to the concerns of local residents as to their needs as to the appropriateness of proposed establishments that will be located along this area and other areas of the corridor.

2.0

1 We look forward to working with your office and other District agencies to continue to 2 3 bring renewed life and vibrancy to this community. 4 Thank you very much for this opportunity. 5 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Alex Padro. Please state your name and organization. 6 7 MR. PADRO: I'm Alexander M. Padro and I'm 8 Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single Member 9 District 2C01, which includes 20 blocks in Central 10 Shaw within the plan area. There are four concerns that I've 11 12 enumerated in my written comments submitted to the 13 Office of Planning previously. I will quickly go 14 through the first three, and then concentrate on the 15 last one. The first deals with outdated information 16 regarding the plans the D.C. Public School Systems for 17 18 both Seaten and Shaw. Both of those plans now have 19 changed since the information that was included in the 2.0 February draft, which is what I presume everyone's 21 commenting on. It is what I am commenting on. 22 And, as a result, adjustments need to be 23 made because there's simply erroneous information 24 about DCPS's plans that are confusing to people that

know otherwise.

I'm not addressing the audience. I'm addressing the microphone. Sorry.

The second issue relates to the threat to existing and very precious and very limited green space, play space, and open space. And while the plan very correctly notes that this is one of the neighborhoods that has the least amount of such space in the entire District, unfortunately it also states that it may be desirable to have public/private partnerships that may encroach on that very limited space in order to generate new schools.

That is an issue of concern. Especially since we fought off the Seaten proposal that is mentioned as being active in the plan in the draft in February.

The third issue deals with the statements made about Kelsey Gardens Apartments. There is a statement there that a developer has expressed an interest in demolishing those buildings, replacing them with higher density and ground floor retail.

In fact, if the plan is really supposed to be supporting long time residents in affordable housing being in the neighborhood, we should be talking about the fact that the Kelsey Gardens

Tenant's Association is working to buy their building

so that there will be no displacement at all. I think that is very critical to address in the plan.

And then, lastly, the 1500 block of 9th

Street and the properties on the corner of 9th, Q, and
Rhode Island Avenue. If Carter G. Woodson were to

come to Shaw under the provisions of the

recommendations for the 1500 block of 9th Street under

the Convention Center Area Strategic Development Plan,
he would not be able to establish his office at 1538

9th Street. He would be prevented from doing that.

For over a century, buildings have had ground floor retail on that block. They continue to have ground floor retail on that block. It's only been recently that Shiloh Baptist Church and others have decided to board up some of those spaces and not have those tenants any more.

But that's only a temporary condition.

We've had three new businesses open up on the block in the past year. We're going to have more. It is critical that this plan respect the historic mixed use character of that block and make sure that that very critical corner at 9th, Q, and Rhode Island, that has tens of thousands of cars going by it every day is a retail location so that we can capture that as the northern terminus or gateway to the lower 9th Street

2.0

1 retail corridor. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. For those 2 3 who can't exactly hear everything that's being commented, the room is set up the way it is because 4 5 they're speaking into a microphone so that we can get all of the comments transcribed. 6 7 As far as being able to read or hear what 8 the comments were, they will be posed on our website 9 and we will mail them to anyone if you will contact 10 our office. We can certainly make them available. Pleasant Mann. State your name and the 11 12 organization you are with, if any. 13 MR. MANN: Okay. Hi. I'm Pleasant Mann. 14 I'm a resident of Shaw. I live at 1519 8th Street 15 N.W. 16 What I want to do is briefly bring over --17 I have to say, in general, I admire your efforts over 18 the two years that you've -- I think it's been almost 19 two years, of trying to set up the area plan and do a 20 thorough investigation of the needs of the 21 neighborhood in trying to develop -- come up with a 22 plan for the future. 23 However, there is one little oversight. 24 For historic reasons, part of 9th Street on the 1600 25 and 1500 blocks are -- there is no call to rezone them for commercial activity.

2.0

I have no idea why they were -- why they're not currently use zoned for commercial activity. In fact, my house resides in a commercial zone, but unfortunately I don't want to have a business there.

Unfortunately, what this does though is this sort of denies the historical nature of the neighborhood. I know that 9th Street isn't quite as old of a commercial quarter as 7th street has been. It only became commercial in 1872, when the Metropolitan Cable Care Company put a line down 9th Street.

However, since then 9th Street became a major commercial corridor. And you still see commercial buildings now. Unfortunately, they are not -- they're in a residential zone now.

Even though your plan calls for rezoning certain areas to encourage commercial development, the two blocks of 9th Street 1500 and 1600, are not part of it. And I think they should be because, if we're trying to encourage commercial development, it would help if your plan designated them as commercial zones.

Currently, the area has suffered because it has been the burden of the developer to try to go

NEAL R. GROSS

1 to the Zoning Board to try to get them changed to commercial, even though they've been designed 2 3 commercial buildings. Even though they've been commercial buildings for 125 years. And it seems a 4 5 terrible shame. And for the past 20 years, they've been 6 7 sort of suffering because it -- the burden is too 8 great for most commercial developers. You know, I 9 guess, in general something came to mind about 10 Sojourner Truth, where their thing about see if you 11 have a full cup and I have a half cup, wouldn't you be 12 mean not to fill my half cup. 13 You know, we aren't asking for a Target or 14 WalMart. We just want to get the neighborhood to have 15 the commercial life it used to have. And by rezoning 16 -- encouraging the rezoning of 1500 and 1600 to a commercial status that they once held, it will go a 17 18 long way to doing that. 19 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Ray 20 Milefsky. 21 MR. MILEFSKY: My name is Ray Milefsky. 22 I live at 817 Q Street. I'm a resident of the 23 neighborhood for 20 years. I would like to register my most 24

vociferous opposition to the rezoning of the 1500 and

1600 blocks of 9th street.

2.0

The R-4 designation of residential with option to go commercial with the consent of the neighborhood is a workable and desirable option.

Having C-4, we don't know what is going to come next to us.

I would also like to register my disaffection with this process in the sense that I spoke to two of my neighbors who just came today.

They were totally unaware of this process and of these hearings. They live on 9th street and will be affected directly by commercial development.

In my 20 year tenure, the property next to my house has been vacant for a goodly percentage of it. But for seven years, I was subject to living next to a racist cult that was engaged in all sorts of activities. And the owner of the property was never helpful. He was usually just the opposite.

Twice we fought against crack whores and, with no help from the owner of the property. This same owner, Mr. Michael Sendar, is now desirous of turning these properties into a commercial operation on the first floor and the second and third floor being made residential.

Although I welcome seeing the development

NEAL R. GROSS

of these properties and Mr. Amoe knows very well that

I whole heartedly supported his full commercial -
full residential development of that area -- of that

said property, I am very concerned that Mr. Sendar

will put in something that is totally undesirable for

the neighborhood; a liquor store, a greasy spoon

place, a place where I have to deal with vats of

grease and cooking smells and that sort of thing.

And, without the consent of the

neighborhood, we don't have any voice. And this is

And, without the consent of the neighborhood, we don't have any voice. And this is why I am strongly, strongly urging all of my neighbors who live in the vicinity of the -- of the two areas is to maintain that status as a R-4 residence. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Lynn Johnson.

MR. JOHNSON: Good evening. I'm Lynn

Johnson with the Westminster Neighborhood Association,

at 9th and Westminster. Roughly, we represent 160

households. We're happy to be here to give our

comments to what we think is a very important study

that's taken a lot of time and effort so far.

We're going to limit it tonight to simply the 1900 block of 9th Street N.W. And that's between T and U. And basically we're talking about the west

side.

2.0

As I understand the plan, it currently -well, as I understand the zoning, it currently is
industrial. Although it's not used that way. And I
understand that plan would suggest that it be used for
commercial. And we appreciate that.

However, the west side and mostly the southern half of the west side is primarily residential at the moment. And we're asking that that part of it be commercial and residential, mixed use.

The east side, we note, has mostly businesses and vacant properties at this time. As you may know, there's a project at 1931 9th Street that will add quite a few residential units to that block, if it does come true.

It's unclear to us what's recommended by Office of Planning for the 8th Street section of the 1900 block, but we would think that the east side should be commensurate with whatever is requested for zoning on the E Street portion of that.

If we look at the west side from 1902 to 1924 9th Street, it's nearly all residential. Only two of these 12 properties currently have business uses in them.

What's most important, though, is that

NEAL R. GROSS

1 those particular properties back up onto the residences at 9 1/2 Street. Now there is no alley 2 between 9 1/2 Street residences and the 9th Street 3 properties on the west side. So what they do directly 4 5 affects each other. There is a parking lot coming up the 1900 6 7 block of 9th Street on the west side at 1931. And it 8 appears at some point that may well have been an 9 alley. 10 What we're suggesting is, that from that 11 point south, that it be zoned residential on the west 12 side. And that being a logical division between commercial and residential. 13 14 And we would request that the few 15 businesses on the southwest portion that are there be 16 left as nonconforming, with the hope that eventually they too would be restored to residential. Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Lori 19 Dodson. 20 MS. DODSON: Good afternoon. Chris, 21 Vivian, good to see you. I am Ms. Lori Dodson. I am 22 here representing the Board of Directors for the 23 Thurgood Marshall Center Trust, as well as the Shaw 24 Heritage Trust. And, for the record, we would just

like to support the letter that was sent to Chris

Shaheen by the United Planning Organization.

2.0

The United Planning Organization is one of our partners, as is the Ofton Organization. They've been involved with the forming of the Thurgood

Marshall Center Trust and the Shaw Heritage Trust since its very beginning. And their interest in seeing businesses return to the 1500 block and 1600 block of 9th street is also something that we share; that interest.

I would also like to add, for the record, that the Thurgood Marshall Center is a very important place to learn from, from a historical standpoint as we begin to develop this area. And especially considering the various historic landmarks throughout the Shaw neighborhood, we urge the -- we urge the planners to take -- to consider looking at the Thurgood Marshall Center and how that organization is formed; what's going on there now; some of our struggles and successes; in planning for other historic landmarks throughout Shaw. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Armond Spikell.

MR. SPIKELL: My name is Armond Spikell.

I'm a principal with the Madison Retail Group and

Rhodeside Development and a owner of the O Street
Market property. And I'm glad to have this
opportunity to applaud the work that the Office of
Planning has done on this comprehensive plan.

I'd like to address two issues in particular. One is the retail corridor, which I think is an excellent idea and is consistent with our planned redevelopment of the O Street Market property.

Now that said, by the way, I noted in the plan that there was some \$31,000,000 projected to be currently spent outside of the area. And I do think that the area is terribly under served for retail and that the corridor stretching south on 7th Street to 0, west on 0, and south on 9th Street will be a very excellent corridor and workable. However, I don't know it's necessary to restrict retail from other parts of the neighborhood.

The other think I'd like to address is the housing issue. I noted in the plan that increased density is encouraged for sites as a way to promote affordable housing. And I think that that's an excellent idea and that one thing that should be kept in mind that additional density also will attract additional retailers.

The one thing that retailers like is to

NEAL R. GROSS

2.0

1 have more customers living close to their stores. I think the two will go hand in hand. 2 As for our project, our plan that we're 3 currently working with includes affordable housing. 4 5 It includes additional retail. It includes opportunities for local and small businesses. 6 7 And one other thing that it includes, 8 which I think is important to keep in mind going 9 forward on any plan, is parking. I mean, parking, 10 from what we've learned in this neighborhood is 11 critical and needs to be considered in the development 12 of, especially the retail corridor, but also housing. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. 15 Sutherland. 16 MS. SUTHERLAND: Thank you. Mary Sutherland. I'm President of Rhode Island avenue Thru 17 18 P Street Neighborhood Association and President of 19 COPE, Citizen Organized Patrol Efforts. 20 I would like to state and let the record 21 show that I will oppose -- I'm opposing the Convention 22 Center Development planned, mainly because of lack of 23 communication. If you will look around this room this 24 25 evening, you will see very few residents that's here.

what is happening. Decisions and discussion has been 2 3 made without the community as a whole -- as a whole. And I, for one, haven't been notified 4 5 regarding anything really from the Shaw and Main Street or manner. And I have a big problem with that. 6 7 Because I am a resident for 37 1/2 years. 8 there's information that I was not privileged to, not meeting the others. 9 10 I think mine's going to be very short 11 because I am opposing this plan. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Betty 13 Newell. There are some seats in the front if anyone 14 in the back want's to use them. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 15 MS. NEWELL: My name is Betty Newell. I'm 16 the President of the East Central Civic Association 17 and I'm also a resident. And I just want to say it 18 like this. I am also a citizen of the United States 19 of America. 20 And this plan has a lot of things in it 21 and I've gone through it and read as much as I could. 22 Tonight, I don't have time to address every issue, but 23 I can't say the page number because the pages are not 24 numbered. But it has one part in this plan about

There's so many of them that was totally unaware of

neighborhood assessment. And that part really caught

25

my eye.

Now for example, to show you that I am on target with some of the things I say, paragraph -- the last paragraph says during the 1980s and 1990s, long time residents who remained in the community aggressively fought to keep their neighborhood free of local -- of crime. Local Orange Hat Patrols, led by community leaders played a significant role. And you know, I brought that to you all's attention. And you did change that because it was really the Red Hats who had fought this.

So, like I said, time does not permit but it's a lot of other things in here. For example, African Americans with this plan, we've got affordable housing. We have training. We need jobs. We need a lot of things. So you say you're going to bring a retail in and all those things.

But my point is, if the community is not a vital part, inclusive of African Americans, to make certain that we get a clear view and a piece of every different pie you serve, we will again be left out.

Because, if you read this page, it says the Federal Government did not invest a lot into Shaw.

Years ago, Shaw was predominantly African
American. It had all kind of African American

NEAL R. GROSS

leaders, businesses, and everything. So you notice the trend as it has gone, we are being pushed out of the city because we can't afford it.

I'm speaking for my people that's in my area. We cannot afford this affordable housing that you all are talking about. The jobs, we are not getting our fair share of the jobs. When retail come in, my point is, how much of this retail will we really be involved in?

And I say that because even though you hire us temporarily, it seems as thought it's just a matter of time before we are pushed out of the job.

So I think all of -- when you do this strategic plan, I know you're talking about zoning all of this stuff, but we want to make certain this report includes other things other than what you have in it.

And because we have not been a part of all of the planning -- I went to two meetings, so I as a leader need to be involved in more than just two meetings. So, as far as this plan is concerned, I would like to recommend that it be tabled for further study done until more people such as myself and leaders such as myself and community people, residents, can become a part of the planning and be able to go through this document.

1 Let me say this. A lot of people read, but they may not have the understanding that I have. 2 3 And that's how you can get over on people when they 4 don't really understand things. 5 So, I think this plan needs to be brought back again before it's finalized; more people 6 7 involved. You have the high schools. You need to 8 reach certain people who would never come to a 9 meeting. Find some way to get people out to these 10 meetings, is my point. Find a way to get us involved 11 so we would know what is really going on. 12 I know my time is expiring. And I said I 13 can't address everything. But I would not like to see this finalized until we have time to be a part of it. 14 15 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Barbara 16 If she comes later, we'll give her the 17 opportunity to speak. Doris Brooks. 18 MS. BROOKS: First of all, my name is 19 Doris Brooks. I've been a resident of this area so 2.0 long and I've seen so many changes. I definitely 21 oppose this Convention Center Strategic Development 22 Plan. 23 Number 1, we don't need this plan right We need some decent schools and affordable 24 25 housing is nothing but a lie. Main Street has been

established and not doing anything.

And, when you Mr. stop going around and knocking on people's doors to get them to sell their houses. This plan will not work. And I will not support it. And I told my neighbors about it and they are not supporting it either.

Take that back to your office.

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: There's a blue Chevy
Malibu that's parked outside. It's about to get a
ticket. If that's anyone's car. Gloria Hightower?
Eloise Wahab? Lillian Gordon? Al Hajj Mahdi Leroy J.
Thorpe?

MR. THORPE: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.

Al Hajj Mahdi Leroy J. Thorpe, Jr., Chairman of ANC
2C Commission.

I'll get right to the point. If you look around the room, you've got 21 people on the list. If you look at this community, it's not even reflective of the impacted residents.

The Shaw Heritage Fund, the Manet

Incorporated National Trust, Shaw, and Main Streets
have all failed to work closely with the ANC. Even
our ANC Commissioner in this area, Alexander Padro,
has not shared information with us and he's the

Executive Director of the Shaw and Main Streets. It's

been totally exclusive, even from your office.

2.0

I've looked at some of the meetings where it was basically people that was basically targeted.

Even when you looked at the line-up of this list, it's basically bogus.

I mean, you have people that live right here that should have been -- should have had first preference to basically testify.

Let me just say that the ANC-2C Commission has not taken any action yet on this plan. However, I am very interested in what Mr. Milefsky and other residents of this community have to say about rezoning the 1500 and 1600 block of 9th Street.

At this particular point, I as one vote on the Commission, I'm not in favor of rezoning it. I agree with him that we don't know what we're going to get with the retail coming there.

And I would like to invite everybody to come out to our July 6 ANC meeting at 6;30 p.m. at Africa Building, 440 R Street N.W., because we will be voting on the Mayor's Convention Center Area Strategic Development Plan as well as this so called proposal to rezone the 1500 and 1600 block of 9th Street.

Let me just say, Chris, that I don't think you've done a very good job. I've talked with your

boss on this. We've met with Stanley Jackson from Economic Development.

It appears that you've been talking to people that have basically been trying to divide you from talking to other leaders in community organizations such as the East Central Civic Association and the Rhode Island through P Street Neighborhood Association, Citizen Organized Patrol Efforts, commonly known as the Red Hats, of which I'm the co-founder, and myself as Chairman of the ANC have not been privy to a lot of things that have been going on regarding this plan.

And let me go ahead and also throw the

National Trust out. I think I did mention the

National Trust in here. And also the Office of

Economic Development as well, in terms of the outreach

coordinators for this Ward has not been very thorough

in getting people included in this process.

This process is a process of an economic development plan that basically benefits the wealthy and basically forces long term residents out of this community. Anybody with common sense that represents this community with any organization would not even support this plan.

I'm in opposition to it; however, the

NEAL R. GROSS

1 Commission has not taken a final vote. We will take a final vote at our next monthly meeting, next month 2 3 so we can have something on record. 4 Thank you very much for allowing me to 5 testify. 6 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Mike 7 Sendar? This is not a presentation. 8 generally an opportunity to comment specifically on 9 the recommendations in the Strategic Development Plan. 10 MR. SENDAR: My name is Michael D. Sendar. 11 I am the owner of the three lots at the corner of Q 12 Street, 9th Street, and Rhode Island Avenue. I have 13 owned the property since 1987. When I purchased it and for about 10 years 14 15 thereafter, it was occupied by the Nubian Islamic 16 Hebrew Mission, a religious group. For the entire period, the group operated a retail store for the sale 17 18 of religious books and artifacts at 816 Rhode Island 19 Avenue. 20 In prior years, all three addresses have 21 been used for retail. In fact, as appears from land 22 records, the buildings originally contained show 23 windows on the outside; which is an indication they 24 were designed and originally used for retail.

My architect, Darrell Rippeteau, would

have testified tonight as to the natural inherent retail character of the buildings, but he cannot be here because of his wife's illness.

If only for its character and its prominent location, the building is special. There is an opportunity here to create a structure and businesses which would uplift the neighborhood.

Recently I submitted a proposal to the

Office of Planning for a mixed use development at the site. I have an enlarged drawing of the project right there if anyone should care to see it after the meeting.

It provides for two retail sites at the ground level and two stories of residential. The retail which is envisioned is a café or deli at the corner and perhaps a patisserie or other neighborhood store on the Q Street side.

The drawings have been prepared by Mr.

Rippeteau with a keen eye towards the needs and

architecture of the neighborhood, with which he is

intimately familiar.

At a meeting on May 31st, the Office of Planning, through its representatives, indicated its preliminary disapproval of the project. If that position is maintained, it is unlikely that this

2.0

project will survive.

2.0

The primary reason offered for the disapproval was that the first floor retail is inconsistent with current zoning and with the comprehensive plan. Under that plan, the 1500 and 1600 blocks of 9th Street are designated for residential.

The OP has concluded that the comprehensive plan and existing zoning provides sufficient retail opportunities for the neighborhood. In fact, retail was planned on 9th Street up to P Street and on all of the comparable stretches of 7th Street.

What is the basis for distinguishing 9th

Street, a major north/south corridor, from 7th Street?

What is the basis for distinguishing the two block

stretch of 9th Street from the rest of 9th Street

below Rhode Island Avenue?

If ever there was a corner which cried out for tasteful retail development, it is this prominent corner of 9th and Rhode Island Avenue.

If my view is shared by any of the neighborhood residents in attendance, I encourage you to make your feelings known to the Office of Planning, which has the power to alter its recommendation.

Finally, I wish to dispute Mr. Milefsky's earlier characterization of my motives and of my plans for the space. I have no plans for a liquor store or for a greasy spoon or for any other tawdry retail enterprise. In fact, my hope is to create a first class building with first class retail around which the neighborhood would congregate.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Daniel Rippeteau is not here so we'll go on to Virginia Lee.

MS. LEE: Good evening. My name is

Virginia Lee and I'm a resident of the Shaw community
in Ward 2.

I wish to speak to the important role the Carter G. Woodson House plays in the enhancement of the character of this neighborhood. It possesses exceptional value in illustrating and interpreting the cultural themes of this nation's heritage found in the African American experience.

The proposed renovation of the Woodson

House will serve as a symbol of the rebirth of this

once cohesive and liveable community. Even in its

present state, it is a magnet -- a powerful magnet in

drawing visitors to this area through the African

American Heritage Trail.

As a Shaw resident, I do not want the community to be marked into commercial conformity with national retailer lining the streets. Shaw needs something with the ability to provide visible and sustained influence on the area.

The Convention Center meets both criteria, but it lacks the humanistic appeal that gives me a sense of place. The Carter G. Woodson House is an authentic example of a popular architectural style characteristic in Washington in the 1890s, and has significant components of the historic landscape of the Shaw Historic District.

The commemoration of Dr. Woodson's name on the park located at 9th and Rhode Island Avenue by the Shaw Main Streets establishes as visual and physical link between his home and the historic Phyllis Wheatley YWCA.

The Carter G. Woodson House is centrally located at 1538 9th Street. Its preservation will help retain the original residential character of this portion of 9th Street which includes the Historic Shaw Baptist Church.

The National Park Service and the Association for the Study of African American History, which currently owns the house, are planning a

NEAL R. GROSS

2.0

cultural organization woven into the fabric of the Shaw community, creating new possibilities for the Carter G. Woodson House, that include multi-use buildings for scientific study, public use, recreation, and cultural entertainment.

It will offer both formal and informal educational enrichment for the surrounding schools and universities. The interpretive exhibits and hosting of special events will allow a natural collection to a growing arts community.

Its administrative operation will provide job opportunities for the local residents and require goods and services that can provide business for the surrounding community.

The Woodson House is positioned to cooperate, not compete with the growing business community. It offers a high degree of historical integrity and will allow this to be played out as a benefit for living and working in an historic district.

The location of the Carter G. Woodson

House is transit oriented and pedestrian friendly.

The three Metro stations located in walking distance

to the Carter G. Woodson House will help in moving the
anticipated increase in foot traffic on the streets of

Shaw, and offer the small businesses as consistent flow of potential customers.

I thank you for this very comprehensive plan. It was the first I've ever read. I feel that the Carter G. Woodson House's place in it would serve as a cornerstone in the City's planning for this corridor. I thank you for this opportunity to highlight a national historic landmark and treasure which has cultural significance in this community.

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Hal Davit?

MR. DAVIT: I'd like to thank the Office of Planning for inviting us to testify. I am Harold Davit, President of the Blagden Alley Association and this testimony is in support of the nodal development of 11th Street between L and M.

The Blagden Alley neighborhood represents the area immediately to the west of the Convention Center. This simple fact explains the reasoning behind our recommendation. That is, we expect 9th Street to develop with street level commercial businesses generally oriented to deriving significant portions of their income from Convention attendees.

In other words, these businesses will not be oriented primarily to the needs of the residents.

Certainly, while some of the businesses will be

NEAL R. GROSS

enjoyed as much by residents as conventioneers, 9th Street is not seen as a self-contained commercial strip useful to the neighborhood.

Of the three options presented for 11th

Street, between L and M Street, residential linear,

commercial, and nodal, the nodal is the most preferred

by our group for several reasons.

We need some place to shop that we can walk to for things like dry cleaning, convenience stores, coin operated laundries, local restaurants, and the like.

Both nodal and commercial will provide this, whereas pure residential would not. It should be noted here that, from 9th and 10th Street, 13th Street is a bit of a trek, especially in bad weather or late at night. So that a heavily developed 13th Street would not satisfy our needs.

The linear commercial configuration would hold down the amount of residential in the neighborhood and provide more local retail than we need, given that the low number of high density housing units in the area compared the rest of the other parts of the District.

The neighborhood now has a residential character and we'd like to keep that. Large scale

1 retail would bring more outside traffic and residential parking is one of the top concerns of 2 3 residents in the area. We hope that the projected 4 retail density will not overload our parking capacity. 5 At this point, we need to comment on the actual development of 9th Street near the Convention 6 7 Center, or perhaps we should say the non-development. It seems as if the District developed 8 9 plans for a Convention Center oriented development 10 around the Convention Center, build the center, and 11 walked away; depending on the idea that build a 12 Convention Center and they will come. 13 It is not working. The District needs to 14 take seriously the completion of a tourist oriented 15 package of which the center itself is just a part. 16 need to sell that street to the outside folks to come 17 and enjoy it. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Chris Dyer? 19 MR. DYER: Thank you for having us come 20 and testify and thanks everyone for showing up. 21 Christopher Dyer. I am the Chair of the Community 22 Development Committee of ANC-2F. We had a special 23 committee to review the CCASDP and these positions have been formally endorsed by ANC-2F. 24

Overall, the plan is -- we're pleased that

you've created a plan. However, the plan lacks sufficient emphasis on infrastructure. If it's to support significant area development in residential and commercial populations envisioned for the area, additional parking needs to be planned now for this area.

Plans should have identified several sites that would be appropriate for large public, preferably underground parking lots. For example, the current Parks and Recreations spot on 13th and S, and the National Capitol Revitalization Corporation properties at 7th and P and 8th and O.

The plan also calls for redevelopment of school facilities and recreation areas such as Shaw Junior High and Shaw Recreation and Seaten. It should explicitly incorporate the provision of underground parking at those sites.

The other thing that the plan does not ignore -- the plan seems to ignore is the need for more green space and recreation areas. The plan correctly notes the study area falls in one of the D.C. neighborhood clusters with the least amount of open space in the city. And we consider it absolutely critical to the site to identify at least one publicly owned site of a reasonable size; either within the

aegis of the study, or to be completely redeveloped as a large multi-use residential park.

Also, there needs to be adequate support of basic utilities before resources are expended in the public realm area such as streetscape design, all terrain vehicle and bicycle lanes, and the underground utility pipes.

The second provision is affordable housing. The study makes frequent mention of encouraging affordable housing in the study area; but identifies few if any specific measures to achieve this goal.

We believe one of the most acceptable ways of promulgating affordable -- or promoting affordable housing in the study area would be through the promulgation of amendments to the zoning regulations to provide significant bonus density with the overall height parameters of existing regulations.

Also, under social -- in regards to social service agencies, the plan lacks discussion on social service agencies, community based residential facilities, and church based charities.

There are some specific sub-area concerns we have, and I'm going to try to get them in in one minute.

NEAL R. GROSS

The retail corridor. The community feels the retail should be compatible with the historic district. The community also supports redevelopment of the O Street Market.

The 11th Street corridor, we feel that recent and substantial residential development makes it impractical to continue to zone it as a mixed residential and commercial zone and we suggest that there be sub-zoning and rezoning to make it primarily residential, grandfathering the current existing commercial properties in the site.

And that, in a nut shell, is what we thought. We also whole heartedly endorse the notion that Shaw and Seaten School areas need complete redevelopment and we support many of the elements designed in the plan's recommendation.

However, as noted, we believe there are serious deficiencies with the current plan's recommendations for this area and we support in principal the notion of public/private partnerships are an appropriate mechanism to explore the redevelopment of these two sites, provided that any public/private development proposals be open to substantive community input at the earliest possible stage of the preliminary planning.

2.0

And if anyone wants a copy of this recommendation, please see me after and we'll be happy to get to you. And I thank you for this plat.

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: That concludes testimony from everyone who has registered to speak tonight. Is there anyone here who did not register who would like to provide comments? I see one, two, three, four. Okay. We'll go in that order. If you want to start, sir. And please give your name and if you're with any organization.

MR. LUMSDEN: Hello. My name is Barry
Lumsden. I'm the owner of Lumsden's Insurance Agency,
located at 1525 9th Street, in the 1500 block.

I'd just like to say that I opened up that office at that location in December, and since that time I've had the opportunity to work in the community and work along with the Seaten Elementary School in putting on programs with the students on a fire safety program, which we did; working with some of the local business in the area; also, working with the Shiloh Baptist Church.

As a new business in the area, I found it outstanding and remarkable that the rich heritage that is in the Shaw District. And I feel very proud to be a part of this community of rich history.

2.0

Relocating here from another state, I was very fortunate enough to open up my office here in the Shaw area. Since that time, my purposes have gained more strength in being in that location. That being able to serve the community and the people that are in that area.

I have been able to not only serve them, but to be a viable part of their life, whether it would be in property, casual life, retirement, any of the services that I offer.

I have found that also that the community itself; the people who are from the community; who are from this area have found me a welcome breath of fresh air as well.

Keeping that in mine, I would like to say in reviewing this strategic plan that I would hope that the plan would include in the future zoning for mixed type of residence, light commercial, as well as residential.

I think that there can be a good combination of the both. I found myself shopping quite frequently at the store here -- the Giant store. However, I do know for a fact that if there was another store, a small convenience store, that would probably save me a lot of time. And I've gone in

1 there and the line is backed up 15 to 30 minutes. So, I think that the -- what I ask that 2 3 the committee would keep in mind that the mixture in the community is always a good thing and it should be 4 5 looked at in the future to bring other like commercial businesses in to support the community that's going to 6 7 be a viable need to the community. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Sir? Would you please state your name and organization you're with? 9 10 MR. LIU: Okay. My name's Alfred Liu. 11 am an architect and open planner. 12 Okay. I see the problem that I'm -- the 13 dynamics in this application planning is very good, is a working situation. And I do think that the 14 15 important thing is not just corridor after corridor. 16 It's very simplistic to say about this plan. And I think it's the amount of commercial should be 17 18 developed within five years because of the -- you 19 know, a comprehensive plan should be amended every 20 five years. 21 It may be too ambitious and cause all the 22 neighborhood has a suspicious to being pushed out. 23 I think that you should have a co-advocacy planning in

minimum, but you should accomplish it in a five-year

such sense, of staging and to try to do the maximum or

24

1	period. It's not just to draw a line, is corridor.
2	And I'd like to see the reasoning on why we need so
3	much commercial space. And that will convince the
4	local people they need it for their advantage rather
5	than just for the dumb people try to open up the
6	corridor for marketing purpose.
7	So I think it is important that there's
8	more work to be done. And especially in terms of
9	parking and infrastructure and all of the other
10	elements should be heavily discussed.
11	I think this is a very good beginning.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Ma'am?
14	MS. HARRIS: Hello. My name is Ronnie
15	Harris. I'm a resident of Shaw. I live in Kelsey
16	Gardens and I also sit on the Board for the Kelsey

Gardens and I also sit on the Board for the Kelsey Gardens 2004 Tenant's Association.

I just wanted to go on record that I've given Mr. Shaheen a copy of a brief letter statement from the Association that points out inaccurate characterizations. On page 34 of the Development Guide, it refers to Kelsey Gardens by stating merely that there is a developer with interests in redeveloping Kelsey Gardens.

That statement, it's true, but it doesn't

NEAL R. GROSS

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tell the whole truth. The Tenant's Association has a legal right to purchase Kelsey Gardens in pursuant to the District's Rental Housing Conversions and Sale Act.

Currently, we are engaged in negotiations with the owner of the building for the purchase of Kelsey Gardens. These negotiations are the result of a court order issued by the Superior Court Judge because the owners had refused to negotiate with the Tenant's Association as required by the Rental Housing Conversation and Sale Act.

Instead of moving forward with an attempt to sell to the out of state developer referenced in your development guide as part of the same litigation the justice also issued a preliminary injunction preventing the current owners from selling the building to any third party until the litigation has concluded.

I'm not going to into any more because you do have this on file and I'm sure that you will go over it; consider it; and I guess rephrase some of the things that you have.

And if you want to refer it to Kelsey

Gardens, if you can come up with a better way.

Because we are going to purchase the building. Thank

NEAL R. GROSS

you.

2.0

MR. FADLI: My name is Karim Fadli. I'm also a resident in Shaw. 1547 9th Street. First of all, I was unaware of the meeting today. Like Ray and another one of my neighbors, I was just informed today of the meeting. And I just think that there should be a flier. You have my e-mail now. And I just would really appreciate it if I could be informed of the

CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Sir?

The second issue I would like to talk about is R-4 being mixed use. I don't see a need for the 1500 block and the 1600 block to go -- to transfer over to commercial.

meetings so I can attend them.

As far as R-4 being mixed use, they can open up commercial, as long as it's agreed upon by all of the residents.

And the third point I'd like to touch on is the Shiloh -- the abandoned properties in front of my residence. All those properties, I have probably called the police six or seven times since I moved into the area because there are people that are still going in and out of those properties. They're not boarded up correctly.

I don't even know if this is where I bring

NEAL R. GROSS

1 that up, but something really needs to be done there. Because I come in in the middle of the night and 2 sometimes may fear that somebody might jump out at me. 3 And that's all. Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN SHAHEEN: Thank you. Is there anyone else here who has not spoken that is interested 6 7 in giving comments? With that, that concludes our 8 public hearing for this evening. Again, thank you for 9 coming out tonight. And it looks like it has not 10 started raining yet, so that's a good thing. 11 We are going to be submitting the document 12 for approval to Council by the end of the summer. 13 Then Council will schedule its hearing and, as usual, I will let you know when the hearing is scheduled so 14 15 you can sign up for those to testify as well, if 16 that's something you're interested in. Thank you. 17 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 18 8:06 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24